SBC Telecommunications, Inc. 1404 I Street, N.W., State 1400 Washington, D.C. 20005 Phone: 202-526-8828 Fax: 202-408-4805 Email: al.sveles@sbc.com



February 5, 2001

Mr. Mark Stone
Federal Communications Commission
Portals II Building
445 - 12th Street, S.W.
Room 6-C365
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Stone:

Enclosed are restatements of SBC's January 22, 2001 filing of merger performance measurements for Nevada Bell (NB), and the Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT).

NB:

The January 22, 2001 file had data missing for measures 1, 18 and 19 for December, 2000.

SWBT:

This restatement corrects a labeling error on PM 16.

In addition, we have included the new 1.1 measures for xDSL FOCs as you verbally requested.

The "missing Z" issue that we discussed on our conference call yesterday does not appear to indicate any error requiring restatement. Often Zs are not required for benchmark measures where collaborative sessions have determined, on a case-by-case basis, that sufficient history exists to establish a simple pass/fail test for a measure. In other cases, there are "diagnostic" measures that do not require a Z score because a voluntary payment calculation is not applicable. When no Z is required the system uses the same column, used for Zs in other measures, to display "0" for Pass and "2" for Fail. Any change in this display method would be a process improvement, not an error correction. The programming for us to indicate a reason why no Z is required for a particular measure would be very complicated and at this point SBC does not know how long it would take. Meanwhile there appear to be no Zs that are missing in error from the current report.

Please call me if there are any questions regarding these reports.

Sincerely,

Attachment

CC: Anthony Dale Frank Hopwood Whitey Thayer